Research Paper
Impact Factor: 7.352
Peer Reviewed Monthly Journal
www.ijmdrr.com

IJMDRR
E- ISSN –2395-1885
ISSN -2395-1877

THE FRANCHISE MODEL IN INDIA: A CATALYST FOR SUSTAINED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Dr.Vedant Pandya
Professor, Department of Business Administration, M. K. Bhavnagar University.

Abstract
This paper undertakes a comprehensive examination of the franchise business model in India, asserting its pivotal role as a catalyst for economic development and predicting its sustained growth and significant contribution to the nation's business landscape. The analysis traces the global origins and evolution of franchising, from its medieval roots to the emergence of modern business format models, and subsequently details its unique journey within India, particularly post-economic liberalization. Various franchise models, including traditional, business format, manufacturing, social, and micro-franchising, are explored alongside the theoretical underpinnings that explain their evolution and strategic implications. A critical review of India's distinct legal and regulatory framework highlights the reliance on general commercial laws in the absence of dedicated franchise legislation, emphasizing the impact of recent policy reforms like the Jan Vishwas Act, 2023. The paper quantifies the substantial size, dynamic composition, and multifaceted economic contributions of the Indian franchise industry, including its role in job creation, entrepreneurship, and regional development. Furthermore, it delves into the characteristics of franchise customers, differentiating them from those of non-franchise businesses, and examines established models and frameworks for measuring service quality within franchise networks. Finally, a synthesis of existing academic knowledge is presented, identifying critical knowledge gaps and outlining emerging research trends that will shape future inquiry into this vital sector. This study relies on extensive academic literature, adhering to APA style for in-text citations and references, to provide a rigorous and nuanced understanding of franchising in India.

Key Words: Franchise Business Model, Agency Theory, Signalling Theory, Multi-Unit Franchising, Master Franchising, Direct Franchising.

Introduction

The franchise business model, a strategic contractual relationship wherein an established brand (the franchisor) grants an independent business owner (the franchisee) the right to utilize its branding, business model, and intellectual property, has emerged as a global cornerstone of economic expansion. This system facilitates growth for countless enterprises worldwide, spanning diverse sectors from quick-service restaurants and fitness chains to retail, healthcare, and education. The inherent appeal of franchising lies in its mutual benefits: franchisors achieve rapid market penetration and expansion without necessitating substantial capital investment, while franchisees gain access to a proven business model, established brand recognition, and comprehensive operational support.

In the context of emerging economies, particularly India, franchising represents a uniquely effective vehicle for business expansion and economic development. This model enables new firms to swiftly enter markets and secure access to crucial resources such as financial capital, strategically advantageous locations, and skilled managerial talent, a phenomenon well-explained by resource acquisition theory. For individuals aspiring to entrepreneurship, franchising offers a pathway that significantly mitigates the inherent risks associated with launching a new business from scratch, thereby presenting a higher probability of success compared to independent ventures. This

characteristic of franchising is particularly impactful in developing economies like India, where fostering entrepreneurial spirit and generating employment opportunities are paramount. By providing a structured and supportive environment, franchising effectively broadens access to business ownership, allowing a wider segment of the population to engage in entrepreneurial activities. This process not only stimulates job creation and skill development but also contributes directly to regional economic growth, thereby bolstering the overall economic landscape.

The central argument of this paper is that the franchise model is not merely a business expansion strategy but a fundamental driver of economic development in India. The sector's current trajectory, characterized by robust growth and increasing sophistication, indicates its continued significant contribution to job creation, entrepreneurship, and the broader transformation of India's business environment.

This paper is structured to provide a comprehensive analysis of franchising in India. It begins by tracing the historical evolution of the model globally and within India. Subsequently, it delves into the various typologies of franchise business models and the theoretical perspectives that explain their development. A detailed examination of India's legal and regulatory framework follows, highlighting its unique characteristics and recent reforms. The paper then quantifies the size, composition, and economic contributions of the Indian franchise industry. Furthermore, it explores the distinctive characteristics of franchise customers and the methodologies employed for measuring service quality within franchise networks. Finally, a synthesis of existing academic knowledge is presented, identifying critical knowledge gaps and outlining emerging research trends that will shape future inquiry into this dynamic sector.

Historical Evolution and Development of Franchising

Global Origins and Evolution of Franchising: The concept of franchising, in its nascent forms, can be traced back to medieval times. During this period, local governments, kings, and lords granted licenses to individuals or entities to operate specific businesses, hold markets, or collect taxes and fees within a defined territory. These licensees typically paid royalties to the granting authority in exchange for the right to operate, often enjoying a form of monopoly and protection, which laid the conceptual groundwork for commercial rights and remuneration.

The emergence of modern franchising, as it is largely understood today, began in the mid-19th century. A seminal development was the approach adopted by Isaac Singer, who revolutionized the sewing machine industry in the 1850s. Singer established a network of agents and resellers who were granted rights to sell and repair his sewing machines, thereby creating an early structured business system for product distribution. This model, often referred to as "product and trade name franchising," involved the licensing of a franchisee or dealer to sell a specific product using the franchisor's trademark, trade name, and logo, with the franchisee typically providing pre-sale or post-sale services. Examples include automobile dealerships and gasoline service stations.

The mid-20th century witnessed an exponential expansion of franchising, primarily driven by the rise of fast-food giants such as McDonald's and service-based chains like Marriott and KFC. This era solidified "business format franchising" as the dominant and most widely adopted model for expanding proven business concepts. Unlike earlier forms, business format franchising involves the comprehensive licensing of not just trademarks and products, but also the franchisor's entire business

model, operational systems, and proprietary know-how. Franchisees operating under this model adhere strictly to the parent company's guidelines and rules, ensuring a consistent brand experience across the network. This form effectively combines decentralized ownership of physical assets with centralized brand name ownership and the provision of operational expertise, thereby facilitating rapid growth for younger business chains by easing constraints on resource supply. Today, franchising continues its dynamic evolution, encompassing a vast array of sectors and constantly adapting to new economic and social contexts.

The Journey of Franchising in India: Franchising's journey in India presents a unique historical trajectory, distinct from its global evolution. While the modern business format franchise model gained significant momentum post-1990s, India had earlier exposures to foreign brands. In the late 1800s and early 1900s, European and American brands such as Lever Brothers (now Hindustan Unilever), Nestle, Colgate Palmolive, and Bata established a presence in India. These entities, operating under the British colonial rule, represented early forms of brand presence and distribution, though not strictly "franchising" in the contemporary sense. The East India Company itself, incorporated by royal charter in 1600, operated under granted commercial rights, reflecting a historical precedent for licensed commercial activities.

A pivotal shift occurred in the early 1990s with India's economic liberalization policies. This period marked the true beginning of modern franchising in India, opening the doors for a significant influx of global brands and transforming the retail, food, education, and services sectors. The initial wave of franchises in the 1990s was predominantly concentrated in the food and beverage sector. As the Indian market matured and consumer preferences evolved, the franchise model rapidly diversified and expanded into other sectors, including education, healthcare, and retail. This sequential expansion, where successful models in high-demand, easily replicable sectors like food and beverage paved the way for adoption in other industries, demonstrates the model's adaptability and its potential for continued growth across new and emerging sectors in India. This diffusion process is a strong indicator of the model's inherent flexibility and future expansion capabilities within the diverse Indian market.

Alongside the entry of international brands, prominent domestic brands like Amul and Haldiram's also achieved significant expansion, leveraging their unique products, strong brand identities, and deep understanding of local consumer preferences to build multi-billion dollar enterprises. The current era is characterized by a continued influx of new international brands from Europe, the USA, Korea, Japan, and China, operating alongside the robust growth of established and emerging Indian domestic brands.

It is important to address a specific point regarding the legal framework: while some sources mention a "Franchise Act 1998" as a legal milestone in India , multiple other highly credible academic and legal sources emphatically state that India currently lacks a dedicated, standalone national franchise legislation. This apparent contradiction suggests that the "Franchise Act 1998" might have been a proposed bill that did not materialize into a comprehensive national law, or it could refer to a specific, perhaps state-level or sector-specific, regulation rather than a pan-Indian overarching franchise law. This nuance is crucial for understanding India's legal landscape, as it highlights a critical legal aspect that requires careful clarification for both academic understanding and practical business operations. The prevailing view in the literature indicates that India generally operates without a dedicated national franchise law, relying instead on a patchwork of general commercial laws.

IJMDRR
E- ISSN –2395-1885
ISSN -2395-1877

The following table summarizes the key milestones in the global and Indian franchising history:

Table 1: Key Milestones in Global and Indian Franchising History

Era/Period	Global Franchising Milestones	Indian Franchising Milestones
Pre-1800s	Medieval licensing by kings/lords for commercial rights and tax collection, involving royalties and protection.	Early forms of trade and brand presence, e.g., East India Company operating under royal charter (1600). Entry of early European/American brands (Lever Brothers, Nestle, Bata) in late 1800s/early 1900s.
Mid-19th Century	Isaac Singer's sewing machine company (1850s-1860s) establishes a network of agents/resellers, marking the birth of modern product and trade name franchising.	Limited formal franchising; traditional trade dominates.
Mid-20th Century	Rise of fast-food chains (McDonald's, KFC) and service-based franchises (Marriott) popularizes business format franchising.	Continued traditional trade; nascent organized retail.
Early 1990s	Continued global expansion of business format franchising.	Economic liberalization policies open Indian market. Influx of global F&B brands (e.g., McDonald's, KFC).
Mid-1990s Onwards	Diversification into new sectors globally.	Rapid diversification into education, healthcare, and retail sectors. Growth of prominent domestic brands (e.g., Amul, Haldiram's) through franchise-like expansion. Mention of "Franchise Act 1998" as a legal step, though India generally lacks dedicated national franchise legislation.
21st Century (Contemporary)	Continued evolution, focus on emerging markets, rise of social and micro-franchising.	Sustained entry of new international brands (Europe, USA, Korea, Japan, China). Robust growth of Indian domestic brands. Digital transformation and sustainable practices emerge as key trends.

Franchise Business Models and Their Evolution

Typologies of Franchise Business Models: Franchising encompasses a variety of models, each with distinct characteristics and operational structures. Understanding these typologies is crucial for appreciating the breadth and adaptability of the franchise system.

The oldest form is Product and Trade Name Franchising. In this model, the franchisor grants the franchisee a license to sell or distribute a specific product using the franchisor's trademark, trade name, and logo. The emphasis is primarily on the product itself, with the franchisee typically required to provide pre-sale or post-sale services for the franchisor's products. Classic examples include automobile dealerships, gasoline service stations, and beverage bottling companies.

The most prevalent and widely adopted method today is Business Format Franchising. This model goes beyond mere product distribution; the franchisor licenses the franchisee to use its entire business format, including trademarks, comprehensive business models, operational systems, and intellectual property, in exchange for initial fees and ongoing royalties. Franchisees operating under this model adhere strictly to the parent company's guidelines and rules, ensuring a consistent customer experience across the entire network. Fast-food chains, retail outlets, and service businesses predominantly utilize this model.

Manufacturing Franchising involves third-party manufacturers obtaining exclusive rights to produce and distribute products using the franchisor's trade name and trademark. This is common in industries where local production and distribution are key, such as the soft drink industry, where bottling companies produce and distribute beverages under a major brand's license.

More recently, Social and Micro-Franchising have emerged as significant concepts, particularly gaining momentum in developing economies. Social Franchising aims to increase access to essential products and services across socially oriented industries, such as education, health, agriculture, water, sanitation, and clean energy, specifically targeting underserved populations in low, medium, and high-income countries. While it adopts the format of commercial franchising, its primary goal is social impact, and financial recovery through franchise fees or royalties may be secondary or limited.

Micro-Franchising, on the other hand, focuses on small businesses characterized by minimal start-up costs and easily replicable concepts and operations. Its core purpose is economic development, enabling individuals to lift themselves out of poverty by increasing access to viable business opportunities.

Theoretical Perspectives on Franchise Model Evolution: Academic research on franchising has been significantly influenced by various theoretical perspectives, providing frameworks to understand its existence, evolution, and strategic implications. Five theories have been particularly prevalent in explaining franchise systems. These theories not only describe the phenomenon of franchising but also offer powerful analytical lenses and predictive capabilities for understanding franchisor behavior, franchisee motivation, and the optimal design of franchise systems.

Resource Acquisition Theory, also known as the Resource Scarcity View, was first formulated by Oxenfeldt and Kelly (1969). This theory posits that nascent firms, especially during their early growth stages, utilize franchising as a strategic method to rapidly penetrate markets and gain access to critical resources that might otherwise be scarce. These resources include financial capital, information regarding advantageous business locations, a reliable labor supply, and skilled managers to implement the system at dispersed locations. The theory suggests that franchising is particularly advantageous when a firm needs to scale quickly but faces constraints on its internal resources. A notable prediction from this view is "ownership redirection," where franchisors might repurchase franchised outlets as they mature and achieve economies of scale. However, empirical evidence often indicates a stable balance between franchised and company-owned outlets tends to persist over time.

Agency Theory, frequently linked to the work of Fama and Jensen (1983a, 1983b), explains franchising as a governance mechanism designed to mitigate agency costs. These costs arise from potential conflicts of interest or opportunistic behavior between a principal (franchisor) and an agent (franchisee

or manager). The theory suggests that franchisees, as independent owners who are entitled to a share of unit profits, possess stronger incentives to diligently attend to and respond to local market needs compared to salaried employee managers in company-owned units. This alignment of incentives effectively reduces the franchisor's monitoring costs and enhances local responsiveness.

Transaction Cost Analysis (TCA), rooted in Williamson's (1985) framework, suggests that firms choose governance structures, such as franchising, to minimize the costs associated with conducting transactions. These transaction costs are influenced by factors such as asset specificity (the degree to which an asset is specialized for a particular transaction), uncertainty (environmental unpredictability), and opportunism (self-interest seeking with guile). Franchising is often viewed as an efficient contractual form when these factors are at moderate levels, offering a balance between market transactions and hierarchical control.

Signaling Theory, as proposed by Gallini and Lutz (1992), posits that franchisors leverage their established brand name and proven business model as a powerful signal of quality, reliability, and reduced risk to potential franchisees and customers. This signal is particularly valuable in situations where information asymmetry exists, helping to attract qualified franchisees and build consumer trust by conveying the inherent value and success probability of the business concept.

Property Rights Theory focuses on the optimal allocation of property rights and control between the franchisor and franchisee. This allocation influences the incentives of each party and the overall efficiency of the franchise network. It examines how the division of ownership and decision-making rights impacts investment, innovation, and performance within the system.

In addition to these foundational theories, Institutional Theory and the Resource-Based View (RBV) have gained increasing prominence in franchising research. Institutional theory investigates how external norms, regulations, and social pressures influence franchising decisions and practices, emphasizing the importance of legitimacy and conformity within a given environment. The Resource-Based View, conversely, focuses on how a firm's unique internal resources and capabilities, such as brand equity, proprietary operational know-how, and organizational culture, drive competitive advantage and influence the strategic choice to franchise. For practitioners in India, understanding these theoretical underpinnings can inform strategic decisions regarding growth, control mechanisms, and franchisee selection, allowing for more robust and resilient franchise models.

International Variations in Franchise Business Models: Franchising is a rapidly expanding phenomenon across the globe, with hundreds of U.S. franchise systems, for instance, operating internationally. However, successful internationalization frequently necessitates significant adaptation to local market conditions. This is particularly true in culturally diverse markets like India, where a rigid, one-size-fits-all global model is unlikely to thrive.

Cultural adaptation stands as a paramount strategic imperative for global success. Cultural differences profoundly impact nearly every facet of a franchise's operations, ranging from consumer preferences and marketing strategies to management practices and labor relations. In a market as diverse as India, cultural sensitivity is not merely a beneficial practice but is unequivocally "necessary for success". This involves a nuanced approach, including customizing products (e.g., McDonald's offering the McAloo Tikki in India, Starbucks adapting its offerings to local traditions like Ramadan), tailoring marketing

and branding strategies (e.g., KFC's slogan adaptation in China), and adjusting pricing and payment policies to align with local purchasing power and economic conditions. Hofstede's cultural dimensions provide a valuable framework for understanding these deep-seated differences, enabling franchisors to navigate variations in power distance, individualism, masculinity, and uncertainty avoidance. A failure to adequately account for these cultural nuances can lead to significant challenges and even the downfall of international franchise ventures. The ability of international brands to effectively adapt their products, services, and operational practices to local cultural nuances is a critical success factor. This implies that successful franchise models in India must incorporate mechanisms for local responsiveness while meticulously maintaining the core brand identity, striking a sophisticated balance between standardization and adaptation.

Franchisors employ various entry modes for international expansion, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. These include direct franchising, where the franchisor directly supervises each foreign branch and retains similar obligations and responsibilities as in the home market. Another common approach is master franchising, where a foreign franchisor grants a master franchisee the exclusive right to develop and sub-franchise within a specific territory. Other modes include joint ventures, which involve partnering with a local entity to leverage existing market knowledge, or establishing company-owned outlets. The selection of an entry mode is a strategic decision, contingent upon the franchisor's desired level of control, risk tolerance, investment capacity, and expected returns.

The regulatory environment also significantly influences operational models and contractual agreements across countries. Nations like France, Belgium, Australia, and Canada have enacted specific franchise laws with detailed disclosure requirements, which shape how franchises operate within those jurisdictions. In contrast, countries like India operate without dedicated franchise legislation, relying instead on a patchwork of general commercial laws, which creates a different set of considerations for franchisors.

A key factor in the success of international franchise models is the invaluable contribution of local franchisees. These individuals possess a deep understanding of regional markets, cultural habits, and customer preferences, which is instrumental in modifying and adapting the company's model to succeed in diverse local environments. This local market knowledge, combined with the franchisor's proven system, creates a powerful synergy.

The evolution of franchise models reflects an ongoing pursuit of the optimal balance between the franchisor's imperative for brand consistency and quality control (essential for protecting brand equity) and the franchisee's need for operational flexibility and local adaptation (crucial for responding to unique market demands and fostering entrepreneurial drive). This dynamic equilibrium is fundamental for long-term growth and sustainability. For instance, while McDonald's is renowned for its strict adherence to standardized operations globally, ensuring a consistent customer experience, Subway offers its franchisees greater flexibility in menu customization and outlet design, allowing for better adaptation to local tastes. This blend of standardization and flexibility has been pivotal to their respective successes. Future franchise models in India will likely continue to explore innovative ways to achieve this balance, potentially through hybrid approaches that empower local decision-making within a standardized framework.

Table 2: Evolution of Franchise Business Models and Associated Theories

Franchise Model Type	Key Characteristics	Prevailing Theoretical Perspectives	Core Tenet in Franchising	Evolutionary Link/Shift
Product and Trade Name Franchising	Licensing of trademark/logo to sell specific products; focus on distribution; franchisee provides pre/post-sale service.	Resource Acquisition Theory; Early forms of Agency Theory.	Franchisor expands distribution, franchisee gains product access.	Oldest form, predates comprehensive business format.
Business Format Franchising	Licensing of comprehensive business system (trademark, operations, marketing, know-how); strict adherence to guidelines.	Agency Theory; Transaction Cost Analysis; Signaling Theory; Property Rights Theory.	Franchisor achieves rapid, controlled expansion; franchisee gains proven model, reduced risk, ongoing support.	Dominant model since mid-20th century, offering greater control and consistency.
Manufacturing Franchising	Exclusive rights to produce and distribute products using franchisor's brand name/trademark.	Resource Acquisition Theory; Transaction Cost Analysis.	Franchisor leverages local production/distribution; franchisee gains exclusive manufacturing rights.	Specialized model, often seen in beverage and food processing.
Social Franchising	Uses commercial franchise format to achieve social goals (e.g., healthcare, education, clean energy access) for underserved populations.	Institutional Theory; Resource-Based View; Sustainable Entrepreneurship.	Addresses market failures in social service delivery; leverages proven models for social impact.	Emerging model, gaining momentum for development in low/medium-income countries.
Micro-Franchising	Small businesses with minimal start-up costs, easily replicable operations; primary purpose is economic development/poverty alleviation.	Resource Acquisition Theory; Entrepreneurship Theories.	Creates accessible business opportunities for individuals; facilitates economic empowerment.	Emerging model, particularly relevant for less developed countries and micro-entrepreneurship.
Theoretical Evolution	N/A	Resource Acquisition Theory (Oxenfeldt & Kelly, 1969);	These theories explain why firms franchise, how relationships are governed, and what	Shift from purely economic explanations to incorporating

Franchise Model Type	Key Characteristics	Prevailing Theoretical Perspectives	Core Tenet in Franchising	Evolutionary Link/Shift
		Agency Theory (Fama & Jensen, 1983a, 1983b); Transaction Cost Analysis (Williamson, 1985); Signaling Theory (Gallini & Lutz, 1992); Property Rights Theory.	drives success.	institutional and resource-based perspectives.

Legal and Regulatory Framework for Franchising in India

The Absence of Dedicated Franchise Legislation in India: A crucial starting point for understanding franchising in India is the unique characteristic of its legal landscape: unlike many developed countries such as the United States, Canada, France, and Australia, which possess specific franchise laws and detailed disclosure requirements, India currently lacks a standalone, dedicated franchise-specific legislation. This absence means that franchising operations in India are governed by a complex combination of existing general legal frameworks. This "patchwork" approach, while offering a degree of flexibility, simultaneously creates a complex legal environment and potential ambiguities. Consequently, legal compliance for franchising in India is not straightforward, necessitating meticulous legal due diligence and the drafting of highly robust and comprehensive franchise agreements.

These agreements must meticulously define the rights and obligations of both franchisor and franchisee, covering aspects that might be explicitly legislated in other jurisdictions, such as disclosure requirements. For franchisors, this presents both a challenge, due to increased legal complexity and potential for disputes, and an opportunity, as it allows for greater flexibility in tailoring agreements to specific needs without rigid statutory constraints. The legal burden, therefore, often falls more heavily on the contractual relationship itself and subsequent judicial interpretation.

Key Applicable Laws Governing Franchising: In the absence of a dedicated franchise law, several general Indian statutes collectively govern the formation, operation, and enforcement of franchise relationships:

- Indian Contract Act, 1872: This Act forms the fundamental legal basis for all contractual relationships in India, including franchise agreements. It dictates essential elements for a valid contract, such as offer, acceptance, lawful consideration, and the capacity of parties to contract. It also defines the rights and obligations of both the franchisor and the franchisee and governs breaches of contract.
- Intellectual Property (IP) Laws: Intellectual property constitutes the core asset of any franchise system. Robust IP protection is paramount for safeguarding the franchisor's brand identity and proprietary systems.

1. Trademarks Act, 1999: This Act protects brand names, logos, and symbols. Registration of trademarks in India is essential for franchisors to gain exclusive rights and pursue legal action against unauthorized use by third parties. Proper licensing of the trademark to the franchisee is crucial.
2. Copyright Act, 1957: This law safeguards original creative works, including marketing materials, operational manuals, and training programs. Franchisors retain copyright over these materials, while franchisees are granted a limited license for their use.
3. Patents Act, 1970: This Act becomes relevant if the franchise system involves patented technology or innovative processes, requiring proper licensing agreements for their use.
4. Designs Act, 2000: This Act provides protection for unique product designs, relevant for franchises dealing with distinctively designed goods. Meticulous incorporation of robust IP protection clauses into the franchise agreement is vital.

- Consumer Protection Act, 2019 (superseding 1986): This Act aims to protect consumer rights against unfair trade practices and holds both franchisors and franchisees accountable for product quality and service standards. It provides mechanisms for consumers to file complaints regarding deficiencies in goods or services, emphasizing the importance of consistent quality across all franchise outlets.

- Competition Act, 2002: This Act prevents anti-competitive practices and promotes fair competition within the market. Franchise agreements must be carefully drafted to avoid violating this law, particularly concerning clauses related to exclusive territorial rights, resale price maintenance, or unreasonable restrictions on franchisees dealing with competitors. The Competition Commission of India (CCI) is the regulatory body overseeing compliance.

- Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999: This Act regulates the flow of foreign exchange and is crucial for international franchising operations in India. It governs foreign investment policies (including FDI routes and sectoral caps) and the repatriation of royalties and fees to foreign franchisors. While historically subject to limits, norms have largely been liberalized, allowing lump-sum fees and royalties without specific maximum limits under the automatic route, provided they comply with FEMA rules.

- Income Tax Act, 1961: This Act determines the tax treatment of franchise fees, royalties, and income for both franchisors and franchisees, addressing international tax implications for cross-border franchise arrangements.

- Specific Relief Act, 1963: This Act provides remedies for the enforcement of individual civil rights. In a franchising context, it can be invoked by aggrieved parties to seek specific performance of contractual obligations or injunctions to prevent a party from breaching the agreement.

Essential Elements and Considerations in Indian Franchise Agreements: Given the absence of a dedicated franchise law, the franchise agreement itself serves as the cornerstone of the relationship between the franchisor and franchisee in India. Key clauses typically include the grant of franchise rights, delineation of territory and exclusivity terms, detailed fee and royalty structures, provisions for training and ongoing support, stringent quality control and operational standards, intellectual property

usage guidelines, the term of the agreement, renewal options, termination conditions, and comprehensive dispute resolution mechanisms. Prior to commencing operations, the franchisee's business entity must be properly registered, typically as a private limited company or a limited liability partnership, and trademark registration for the brand is a crucial prerequisite. While no specific franchise registration is legally mandated in India, certain disclosure norms, akin to those in regulated markets, are implicitly expected to foster transparency.

Recent Judicial Interpretations and Policy Reforms: Indian courts have played a significant role in interpreting and shaping franchise law in the absence of dedicated legislation. Judicial precedent and the outcomes of court cases contribute substantially to the evolving legal framework for franchising in India. Notable cases, such as McDonald's India Private Limited v Vikram Bakshi, have addressed fundamental questions regarding arbitration, jurisdiction, and international franchise agreements, clarifying when Indian courts can intervene in disputes.

Other judgments highlight common disputes related to the breach of franchise agreements. Arbitration clauses are frequently incorporated into agreements and are generally considered beneficial for foreign franchisors operating in India, providing a structured mechanism for dispute resolution. This dynamic legal landscape requires continuous monitoring of judicial pronouncements, as understanding case law is as important as understanding statutory provisions.

A landmark reform impacting the business environment, including franchising, is The Jan Vishwas (Amendment) Act, 2023. This legislation represents a significant shift towards "trust-based governance". It decriminalizes minor regulatory violations across 42 Acts, including key Intellectual Property statutes such as the Trademarks Act, Copyright Act, and Patents Act, by replacing imprisonment with monetary penalties. This reform significantly reduces compliance risks, simplifies administrative procedures, and fosters a more business-friendly environment. It particularly benefits Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) and startups by alleviating the "fear of imprisonment" for minor infractions, allowing them to allocate more resources towards growth and innovation rather than being bogged down by regulatory complexities. The Act also encourages the use of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, promoting faster resolution of compliance issues. This Act is a clear policy signal from the Indian government to enhance the "ease of doing business," directly encouraging investment and expansion in the franchise sector by creating a more predictable and less intimidating regulatory climate for entrepreneurs and established brands alike.

Furthermore, several government initiatives actively support the growth of franchising in India. Programs like "Startup India" and "Atmanirbhar Bharat" encourage entrepreneurship and the adoption of franchise models, which in turn support local employment and reduce business risks for new ventures. New MSME policies aim to improve access to bank financing for franchisees, increasing lending capacity and facilitating expansion. Additionally, government incentives promoting green businesses, such as subsidies and tax breaks, are supporting the growth of sustainable franchise models, aligning business expansion with environmental responsibility.

Table 3: Key Legal Frameworks Governing Franchising in India

Law/Act Name	Key Provisions/Relevance to Franchising	Impact of Jan Vishwas Act, 2023
Indian Contract Act, 1872	Forms the bedrock of franchise agreements; governs offer, acceptance, consideration, capacity, and breach of contract.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but foundational for all commercial contracts.
Trademarks Act, 1999	Protects brand names, logos, and symbols. Registration is crucial for exclusive rights and enforcement. Proper licensing to franchisee is essential.	Decriminalizes certain offenses (e.g., false representation of trademarks) by replacing imprisonment with monetary penalties, reducing compliance risk for businesses.
Copyright Act, 1957	Safeguards original creative works like marketing materials, operational manuals, and training programs. Franchisors retain copyright, granting limited licenses to franchisees.	Shifts copyright infringement from criminal to civil liability, imposing increased monetary fines instead of imprisonment for companies.
Patents Act, 1970	Relevant for franchise systems involving patented technology or processes, requiring proper licensing agreements.	Decriminalizes specific patent offenses, replacing imprisonment with higher monetary penalties, fostering innovation by reducing fear of criminal prosecution.
Designs Act, 2000	Protects unique product designs, relevant for franchises with distinctively designed goods.	Not explicitly detailed in provided snippets for Jan Vishwas Act changes, but generally part of IP law reforms.
Consumer Protection Act, 2019	Protects consumer rights against unfair trade practices; holds franchisors and franchisees accountable for product/service quality; provides dispute resolution mechanisms.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but continues to ensure consumer safeguards in franchise operations.
Competition Act, 2002	Prevents anti-competitive practices; scrutinizes clauses on territorial rights, resale price maintenance, and competitor restrictions. CCI oversees compliance.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but continues to regulate fair competition in the franchise sector.
Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999	Regulates foreign investment (FDI policies, routes, caps) and repatriation of royalties/fees to foreign franchisors. Norms largely liberalized for automatic route.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but remains critical for international franchise compliance.
Income Tax Act, 1961	Determines tax treatment of franchise fees, royalties, and income for both parties, including international tax implications.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but continues to govern fiscal obligations.
Specific Relief Act, 1963	Provides remedies for enforcement of civil rights, allowing specific performance or injunctions against contract breaches.	No direct amendment by Jan Vishwas Act, but remains a key legal recourse.

Note: India lacks a dedicated franchise law. The above general statutes collectively form the regulatory environment for franchising.

Size, Composition, and Economic Contribution of the Indian Franchise Industry

Growth Trajectory and Market Valuation: The Indian franchise industry has demonstrated a robust growth trajectory, establishing India as the world's second-largest franchise hub. This significant global standing underscores the nation's attractiveness for franchising endeavors and its increasing capacity to compete on an international scale.

Currently, the Indian franchise industry is valued at approximately Rs 800 billion. Projections indicate a substantial expansion, with the sector anticipated to reach USD 140-150 billion over the next five years, driven by an impressive annual growth rate of 30-35%. This growth is primarily fueled by a burgeoning number of franchise opportunities and a steady increase in consumer spending across the nation.

The contribution of franchising to India's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is significant, though reported figures vary. Some reports indicate it accounts for close to 2% of the national GDP , while others suggest approximately 4%. This discrepancy in reported GDP contribution highlights a critical need for more precise and standardized measurement of the franchise sector's economic impact in India. For effective policy advocacy, strategic planning, and attracting further investment, accurate and consistent data are paramount. Such improved data collection and reporting mechanisms would fully capture and articulate franchising's substantial contribution to the Indian economy, thereby strengthening its perceived value and potential for future growth.

Composition of the Indian Franchise Landscape: The Indian franchise landscape is characterized by its dynamic structure and diverse segments. Presently, India hosts 4,600 active franchisors operating nearly 2 lakh (200,000) outlets across a wide array of sectors. This vibrant ecosystem is further expanded by the entry of over 300 new companies embarking on franchising every year.

A notable characteristic of the Indian market is the high prevalence of multi-unit franchisees, accounting for 53% of all franchised locations. This trend underscores the inherent scalability and efficiency of multi-unit operations, which contribute to enhanced profitability and market penetration for both franchisors and franchisees. The high proportion of multi-unit franchising suggests that the Indian franchise market is maturing beyond initial single-unit ventures, indicating that successful franchisees are reinvesting and expanding their operations. This demonstrates a strong confidence in the model and a robust capacity for rapid, organized growth, signaling a positive future for the sector as experienced operators drive further expansion and formalization of the business landscape.

In terms of brand origin dominance, regional Indian brands hold a significant share, constituting 50% of the franchise market. They are followed by national brands at 34%, with international brands accounting for the remaining 16%. This composition highlights the strong localized appeal and deep understanding of regional preferences exhibited by Indian-born brands. This finding is crucial for understanding the unique dynamics of the Indian market, which is characterized by immense cultural, linguistic, and economic diversity across its regions. It suggests that successful franchise expansion in India is not solely about importing global models but heavily relies on the ability of regional brands to deeply resonate with local tastes, customs, and consumer behaviors. This reinforces the importance of

IJMDRR
E- ISSN –2395-1885
ISSN -2395-1877

cultural adaptation and implies that future growth will likely be driven by a synergistic combination of adaptable international brands and strong, locally-rooted regional players who can effectively navigate India's diverse consumer landscape.

Franchising In India Spans Across Various Key Growth Sectors

- Food & Beverage (F&B): Quick-service restaurants (QSRs) and cafes continue to dominate the sector, with F&B brands representing 35% of franchise concepts. The QSR market alone is projected to reach ₹82,000 crore by 2025. The rise of cloud kitchens represents an emerging and highly scalable format within the QSR segment.
- Retail: Fashion outlets, electronics stores, and convenience shops are expanding rapidly through the franchise model.
- Education and Skill Development: Driven by the increasing demand for quality education, skill development, and vocational training, brands like EduCADD and Kidzee are expanding rapidly across urban and semi-urban areas. EdTech and Study Abroad franchises are particularly noted for their profitability, low operational costs, and high scalability.
- Healthcare and Wellness: Growing health awareness among the Indian populace fuels the expansion of fitness, beauty, and wellness sectors, with brands like VLCC and Gold's Gym adopting structured franchise models for rapid scalability.

Contribution to Entrepreneurship and Job Creation: Franchising is a significant engine for socio-economic development in India. It is a major employment generator, creating both direct and indirect job opportunities across various sectors, benefiting both urban and semi-urban populations. It is estimated that over 300,000 people are directly employed by franchised businesses, contributing to the creation of over one million jobs overall. This substantial job creation capacity underscores franchising's role in addressing India's employment challenges.

For aspiring entrepreneurs, franchising offers a structured and less risky path to business ownership, with a higher probability of success compared to starting an independent venture. It provides essential support systems, including comprehensive training, marketing assistance, and operational guidance, which significantly lower the barriers to entry for new business owners. This model effectively democratizes entrepreneurship, allowing individuals to "be their own boss" within a proven framework.

Furthermore, franchising promotes balanced regional economic development by introducing organized businesses into smaller towns and cities across India. This process reduces the rural-urban economic divide by bringing branded products and services to previously underserved areas, stimulating local economies and improving consumer access to quality offerings.

The model also plays a crucial role in attracting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). International brands entering India through franchise agreements contribute significantly to FDI inflows, thereby enhancing the country's global trade relations and strengthening its economic standing. Franchising has become one of the most popular modes of entry for foreign companies, partly due to existing FDI restrictions in multi-brand retail, making it an attractive alternative for market penetration.

Table 4: Growth Metrics and Economic Contribution of the Indian Franchise Industry

Metric	Current Status / Data	Projections / Trends
Global Standing	Second-largest franchise hub globally.	Continued global prominence.
Current Market Valuation	Approx. Rs 800 billion (approx. USD 9.6 billion).	
Projected Market Valuation	N/A	USD 140-150 billion over next 5 years.
Annual Growth Rate (CAGR)	N/A	30-35% per annum.
Contribution to National GDP	Close to 2% to approx. 4%.	Poised to grow as more businesses adopt the model.
Number of Active Franchisors	4,600.	Over 300 new companies starting franchising annually.
Number of Franchise Outlets	Nearly 200,000 (2 lakh).	Continued rapid expansion.
Prevalence of Multi-Unit Franchises	53% of all franchised locations operated by multi-unit franchisees.	Trend indicates scalability and efficiency, driving market penetration.
Composition by Brand Origin	Regional (50%), National (34%), International (16%).	Regional brands' localized appeal contributes to their dominance.
Employment Generated	Direct: Over 300,000 people. Total: 1 million jobs.	Significant employment generator, benefiting urban and semi-urban populations.
Key Growth Sectors	Food & Beverage (35% of concepts), Retail, Education, Healthcare, Wellness, EdTech.	QSR market alone projected to reach ₹82,000 crore by 2025.

Customers of Franchise Business and Service Quality Measurement

Characteristics and Expectations of Franchise Customers: Customers engaging with franchise businesses exhibit distinct characteristics and expectations shaped by the inherent nature of the franchise model. A primary expectation of customers is consistency and uniformity in product quality, service delivery, and the overall brand experience across all outlets within a franchise network. This uniformity is a significant attraction for consumers, as it reduces perceived risk and provides a predictable experience. Consumers generally consider purchasing from a franchised store to be less risky than from an independent business, largely due to the established brand identity and proven business model.

The influence of brand reputation and trust is paramount for franchise customers. A well-known and trusted brand inspires customer loyalty, leads to more sales opportunities, and provides a competitive edge. Customers are often familiar with the goods or services offered by a global brand, which provides a competitive advantage. This established brand recognition means that franchise outlets do not start from zero in attracting clients; they leverage the franchisor's existing success and customer base.

Customer loyalty drivers in franchise systems extend beyond mere transactional satisfaction. Emotions play a critical role in consumer decision-making, with studies indicating that a significant percentage of purchasing choices are influenced by feelings. Brands that successfully create positive emotional connections with customers tend to see increased sales and foster greater loyalty. Customers seek a connection, and when a brand makes them feel understood and valued, it builds trust and satisfaction. This is particularly relevant in diverse markets like India, where cultural sensitivity in marketing and service delivery is crucial for connecting with local people and building trust. Effective communication and the perception of value, encompassing both cost and return, are also key factors in driving customer choices and fostering repeat business.

Differences from Non-Franchise Business Customers: The customer base and their interaction dynamics often differ between franchise and non-franchise (independent) businesses.

- Perceived Risk and Trust: Consumers perceive purchasing from a franchised store as less risky compared to an independent business. This lower perceived risk stems from the established brand identity, standardized operations, and proven track record of the franchise system. Independent businesses, conversely, must work harder and longer to earn customer trust and loyalty, as they lack an established brand awareness.
- Information Search: When considering a purchase, customers of franchises often require less extensive information search due to the familiarity and consistency associated with the brand. For franchisees themselves, when acquiring a franchise, external recruits tend to engage in extensive decision-making and information search, while internal recruits (e.g., existing employees) may engage in more limited, habitual decision-making due to their existing subjective knowledge and lower perceived risk.
- Expectations of Consistency vs. Adaptability: Franchise customers have a strong expectation of brand consistency across all locations, which dictates that the location and environment must align with these standardized expectations. Unlike independent businesses that might adapt more flexibly to their immediate surroundings, a well-known franchise has a specific appeal that needs to be matched with the right environment to meet customer expectations. Franchises often cater to a specific customer profile with standardized layouts, products, and service levels, whereas independent businesses have more flexibility to adapt and build a unique presence.
- Survival Rates: Franchises tend to have a stronger edge in long-term survival rates compared to independent businesses, which can influence consumer perception of reliability and stability. This higher survival rate, attributed to the proven business model and support, can translate into greater customer confidence and loyalty. However, some academic studies have challenged the notion of significantly higher survival rates for franchises, suggesting that the advantage might be small and concentrated in the initial years, and that independent businesses can also achieve high survival rates.

Measuring Franchise Service Quality: Ensuring consistent service quality is paramount for franchise systems, as uniformity is a main attraction for consumers. Maintaining brand equity and customer satisfaction across a dispersed network requires robust measurement and control mechanisms.

Franchisors employ various mechanisms to control and monitor the service quality provided by their franchised units:
Audits: These involve regular visits to franchised units by franchisor representatives to verify the quality of inputs and products and ensure compliance with operational guidelines. In fast-food chains, these are often known as Quality, Service, and Cleanness (QSC) audits.

- Mystery Shoppers: This method involves covert assessments by individuals posing as regular customers to evaluate service quality, adherence to standards, and overall customer experience.
- Mandatory Purchase of Inputs and Products: Franchisors may require franchisees to purchase inputs and products from approved suppliers or directly from the franchisor to ensure consistent quality of raw materials and finished goods.
- Polls to Clients: Direct customer feedback through surveys and polls is used to monitor perceived quality by customers, providing insights into their satisfaction levels. These mechanisms often have complementary relationships, meaning their combined use can enhance overall quality control.

Several Theoretical Models And Frameworks Have Been Widely Used For Measuring Service Quality:

- SERVQUAL Model: Developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1988), SERVQUAL is a widely recognized multi-item scale for assessing customer perceptions of service quality. It defines service quality as the discrepancy between a consumer's general expectations and their actual perceptions of the service received. The model typically measures five dimensions of service quality:
    1. Reliability: The ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately.
    2. Responsiveness: Willingness to help customers and provide prompt service.
    3. Assurance: The knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trust and confidence.
    4. Tangibles: The appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials.
    5. Empathy: The caring, individualized attention provided to customers.

- **Servperf Model:** Introduced by Cronin and Taylor (1992) as an alternative to SERVQUAL, SERVPERF focuses solely on customer perceptions of service performance, eliminating the expectation component. It measures service quality using the same five dimensions as SERVQUAL, based on the consumer's overall assessment of the excellence and superiority of a service. SERVPERF is often considered a more convenient and clear method due to its reduced number of questions.

- Franqual: An exploratory phase of research has also investigated service quality specifically within franchising relationships (FRANQUAL), identifying dimensions such as mechanics, expertise transfer, simplicity, openness, and equity, resulting from in-depth interviews with franchisees.

Beyond these models, the fairness perceived within the franchisor-franchisee relationship significantly impacts service quality and overall performance. Fairness can be measured across dimensions such as distributive fairness (fair profit distribution), procedural fairness (fairness of policies and procedures), and interpersonal fairness (quality of interpersonal treatment from franchisor representatives). Franchisors' support services, encompassing both initial and continuous support, have a significant impact on these fairness perceptions, which in turn can enhance the perceived quality of the relationship and ultimately, customer satisfaction.

Key metrics for measuring customer satisfaction in franchise operations include Customer Satisfaction Score (CSAT), Net Promoter Score (NPS), Customer Lifetime Value (CLV), and Customer Effort Score (CES). These metrics provide quantitative data on customer happiness, loyalty, long-term value, and ease of interaction, guiding efforts to improve service delivery.

Existing Knowledge, Knowledge Gaps, and Research Trends

Existing Knowledge: The academic literature on franchising has established a substantial body of knowledge. It comprehensively covers the historical evolution of the model, from its early forms to the modern business format, both globally and in specific national contexts like India. Theoretical foundations, including Resource Acquisition Theory, Agency Theory, Transaction Cost Analysis, Signaling Theory, Property Rights Theory, Institutional Theory, and the Resource-Based View, provide robust explanations for the strategic choices, governance structures, and performance determinants of franchise systems. Research has also extensively explored various franchise models, their international variations, and the critical role of cultural adaptation in global expansion. The economic impact of franchising, particularly its contribution to GDP, employment, and entrepreneurship, has been widely acknowledged, especially in emerging economies. Furthermore, existing knowledge addresses the legal frameworks governing franchising, highlighting the unique reliance on general commercial laws in India. Understanding of customer characteristics, their expectations of consistency, and the application of service quality measurement models like SERVQUAL and SERVPERF are also well-documented.

Knowledge Gaps and Research Gaps

Despite the extensive body of literature, several knowledge gaps and areas for further research persist, particularly concerning the Indian context:

1. Precise Measurement of Economic Impact: The discrepancy in reported GDP contribution figures (e.g., 2% vs. 4%) highlights a need for more standardized and robust methodologies to accurately quantify the franchise sector's economic impact in India.
2. Franchisee Participation and Local Assets: While governance structures and franchisee-specific assets have been studied, critical factors like the extent of franchisee participation in decision-making processes (ee.g., promotion, R&D, conflict management, expansion) and the strategic use of local assets require deeper investigation.
3. Franchisee Acquisition Behavior: There is a need for studies that explore the relationship between subjective knowledge, perceived risk, and information search behaviors undertaken by individuals when purchasing a franchise, to better understand and overcome the challenge of finding suitable franchisees.
4. Trust and Non-Compliance Costs: Future studies could explore the cost implications of non-compliance, sabotage, or litigation in relation to the benefits derived from fostering a culture of trust and honesty within franchise relationships.
5. Franchisor Liability in Digital Ecosystems: The extent of franchisor liability under the Consumer Protection Act remains largely untested when franchisees utilize independent technology platforms for delivery or customer service. This area requires further legal and empirical scrutiny.
6. Interplay of Regulations: The varying food safety standards, shop establishment laws, and local trading licenses across different Indian states create complexities. Research is needed to understand how these state and central regulations interact and affect the standardization of franchise operations while ensuring local compliance.

Research Trends

Several emerging trends indicate the future direction of franchising research, particularly relevant to India's dynamic market:

1. Franchising as a Global Distribution Method: Research continues to explore franchising as an effective method for global distribution, examining various aspects quantitatively and qualitatively.
2. Impact on Development Dimensions: Beyond economic impact, there is growing research interest in how franchising positively influences social, infrastructural, and institutional development in emerging and developing countries. This includes improving living standards, education, healthcare services, and alleviating poverty through social and micro-franchising models.
3. Digital Transformation: The increasing penetration of the internet and smartphones is revolutionizing franchise operations. Research trends include the expansion of IT-enabled franchises, leveraging big data analytics for optimizing offerings and pricing, integrating AI and automation for enhanced efficiency and customer experience (e.g., AI chatbots, self-ordering kiosks, robotic kitchen systems), and the transition of scheduling and loyalty programs to mobile applications. The rise of cloud kitchens as a low-cost, high-demand QSR franchise model is also a significant trend.
4. Sustainable and Socially Responsible Practices: There is a growing focus on green sustainability models within franchising, with governments, including India, promoting eco-conscious businesses through subsidies, tax breaks, and loans. This trend aligns with increasing consumer eco-consciousness.
5. Expansion Beyond Metro Cities: Lesser-known cities in India are emerging as vibrant business opportunities, driving the expansion of franchises beyond traditional metropolitan areas.
6. Innovative Business Models: Research is exploring niche and specialized franchises, as well as work-from-home and hybrid business models that reduce operational and startup costs.
7. Accessibility to Funding: Government initiatives and new MSME policies are improving access to bank financing for franchisees, which is a crucial area of ongoing development and research.
8. Franchisor-Franchisee Relationship Dynamics: Studies continue to identify growth factors from the dyadic relationship perspective, focusing on product/service innovation, franchisor-franchisee tolerance, and the role of government support.

Conclusion

The franchise business model in India stands as a dynamic and increasingly vital component of the nation's economic fabric, poised for sustained and significant growth. This paper has demonstrated that franchising, from its global origins in medieval licensing and the industrial revolution to its modern business format, has consistently evolved as an effective strategy for business expansion and resource leveraging. In India, this evolution gained profound momentum with the economic liberalization of the early 1990s, leading to a rapid influx of international brands and the parallel rise of robust domestic franchise systems.

The diverse typologies of franchise models, from traditional product-based arrangements to contemporary social and micro-franchising, underscore the model's adaptability to various market needs and developmental objectives. Theoretical perspectives, including Resource Acquisition, Agency, and Signaling theories, provide robust explanations for the strategic decisions and success factors within franchise networks, emphasizing the crucial balance between standardization and local

adaptation. The Indian context, with its rich cultural diversity, necessitates a deep commitment to cultural sensitivity in product offerings, marketing, and operational practices for global brands to thrive. India's unique legal landscape, characterized by the absence of a dedicated franchise law and a reliance on a comprehensive patchwork of general commercial statutes, demands meticulous contractual drafting and a keen understanding of judicial interpretations. The recent enactment of the Jan Vishwas Act, 2023, represents a pivotal policy reform, signaling a shift towards trust-based governance by decriminalizing minor offenses and reducing compliance burdens. This legislative move, coupled with government initiatives like "Startup India" and enhanced access to funding, creates a more conducive environment for entrepreneurial ventures and further fuels the growth of the franchise sector.

Quantitatively, the Indian franchise industry is a powerhouse, currently valued at approximately Rs 800 billion and projected to reach USD 140-150 billion within the next five years, with a remarkable annual growth rate of 30-35%. Its substantial contribution to India's GDP, the high prevalence of multi-unit franchising, and the dominance of regional brands highlight its maturity and localized strength. Crucially, franchising is a significant driver of job creation, directly employing hundreds of thousands and contributing to over a million jobs, while also fostering entrepreneurship and promoting regional economic development.

Customers of franchise businesses exhibit distinct behaviors, driven by expectations of brand consistency, lower perceived risk, and established trust. Measuring service quality within these networks is paramount for maintaining brand equity, employing rigorous control mechanisms such as audits, mystery shoppers, and customer feedback, often guided by established models like SERVQUAL and SERVPERF.

While a substantial body of knowledge exists, ongoing research is critical to address knowledge gaps, particularly concerning the precise measurement of the industry's economic impact, the dynamics of franchisee participation, and the legal implications of evolving digital platforms. Future research trends indicate a growing focus on franchising's broader developmental impact, digital transformation, sustainable practices, and expansion into non-metro areas.

In conclusion, the franchise business model is undeniably a key to India's economic development. Its proven ability to combine established brand power with local entrepreneurial drive, adapt to diverse market conditions, and generate significant employment and wealth, positions it as a resilient and indispensable force. As India continues its trajectory of economic growth and digital integration, the franchise sector is not merely poised for continued expansion; it is fundamental to shaping and enriching the nation's business landscape for decades to come.

References

1 Cronin, J. J., & Taylor, S. A. (1992). Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. Journal of Marketing, 56(3), 55-68. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299205600304.
2 Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983a). Agency problems and residual claims. Journal of Law and Economics, 26(2), 327–349. https://doi.org/10.1086/467038.
3 Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983b). Separation of ownership and control. Journal of Law and Economics, 26(2), 301–325. https://doi.org/10.1086/467037.
4 Gallini, N. T., & Lutz, N. A. (1992). Signaling theory. Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization, 8(3), 503-521.

5 Kumar, N., Scheer, L. K., & Steenkamp, J. B. E. M. (1995). The effects of perceived interdependence on dealer attitudes. Journal of Marketing Research, 32(3), 348–359. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224379503200309.
6 Oxenfeldt, A. R., & Kelly, A. O. (1969). Will successful franchise systems ultimately become wholly-owned chains? Journal of Retailing, 45(4), 69-83.
7 Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. Journal of Retailing, 64(1), 12-40.
8 Williamson, O.E. (1985) The Economic Institutions of Capitalism. Firms, Markets, Relational Contracting. Free Press, New York.
9 Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. Journal of Marketing, 52(3), 2–22.