



## ON HIGHER ORDER RECURRENT FINSLER SPACES

P.C. Yadava\* Subodh K. Mishra\* S.B. Misra\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, K.S. Saket (P.G.) College, Ayodhya, Faizabad (U.P.).

\*\*Department of Mathematics, M.L.K. (P.G.) College Balrampur, (U.P.).

### Introduction

The covariant derivative of any tensor field  $T_j^i$  in the sense of Cartan [1] is defined as:

$$(1.1) \quad T_{j|k}^i = \partial_k T_j^i + T_j^m F_{mj}^i - T_m^i F_{jk}^m$$

The Ricci identity for a tensor  $T_j^i$  in the sense of Cartan [2] is given by

$$(1.2) \quad T_{j|h|k}^i - T_{j|k|h}^i = T_j^m R_{m hk}^i - T_m^i R_{j h k}^m - T_{j|m}^i R_{hk}^m,$$

Where

$$(1.3) \quad R_{hkm}^i = {}^*(km) \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{hk}^{*i}}{\partial x^m} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{hk}^{*i}}{\partial \dot{x}^r} G_m^r + \Gamma_{hk}^{*r} \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} + C_{hr}^i R_{km}^r \right\},$$

$$(1.4) \quad R_{hk}^i = R_{hkm}^i \dot{x}^m = {}^*(hk) \left\{ \frac{\partial G_h^i}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial G_h^i}{\partial \dot{x}^m} G_k^m \right\}$$

And  ${}^*(hk) \{ \}$  denotes the interchange of the indices h and k and subtraction thereafter. The Cartan's curvature Tensor satisfies the following identities:

$$(1.5) \quad (a) R_{hijk} = -R_{hikj} \quad (b) R_{hijk} = -R_{ihjk} \quad (c) R_{ijk} = -R_{ikj}$$

Where  $R_{Gijk} = g_{mi} R_{hjk}^m$  and  $R_{ijk} = g_{mi} R_{jk}^m$

The deviation tensors  $H_k^j(x, \dot{x})$ ,  $H_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  and Berwald's Curvature tensor  $H_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the following:

$$(1.6) \quad (a) H_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}) = K_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^h = R_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^h,$$

$$(b) H_{hjk}^i = K_{hjk}^i + \dot{x}^r \partial_h K_{rjk}^i.$$

And

$$(1.7) \quad (a) H_k^i(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^k = 0,$$

$$(b) H_{jk}^i \dot{x}^j = H_k^i$$

$$(c) H_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^h = H_{jk}^i,$$

$$(d) H_{ih}^h = H_i,$$

$$(e) H_{ijh}^h = H_{ij} = \partial_i H_j,$$

$$(f) H_{ij} \dot{x}^i = H_j,$$

$$(g) H_i \dot{x}^i = H_i^i = (n-1) H_j,$$

$$(h) H_{hjk}^i + H_{jkh}^i + H_{khj}^i = 0,$$

$$(i) H_{jh}^i - H_{hj}^i = H_{khj}^k$$

The curvature tensor  $K_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  appearing in (1.6a) is given by

$$(1.8) \quad K_{jkh}^i = {}^*(hk) \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jh}^{*i}}{\partial \dot{x}^r} G_k^r + \Gamma_{mk}^{*i} \Gamma_{jh}^{*m} \right\}$$



And this curvature tensor satisfies the following identities

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & K_{j h k}^i = -K_{j k h}^i, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & K_{j h k}^i + K_{h k j}^i + K_{k j h}^i = 0, \\ \text{(c)} \quad & K_{j i h k} = -K_{i j h} - 2C_{i j m}^d K_{r h k}^m \dot{x}^r \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } K_{i j h k} = g_{r j} K_{i h k}^r$$

### $R^h$ -Generalised Birecurrent Finsler Spaces

Verma [9] discussed a Finsler space in which Cartan's third Curvature tensor  $R_{j k h}^i(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the recurrence property with respect to Cartan's connection  $\Gamma_{j k}^{*i}$  and she called it as  $R^h$ -recurrent space. Thus, an  $R^h$ -recurrent space is characterised by

$$(2.1) \quad R_{j k h | m}^i = \}^i_m R_{j k h}^i,$$

Here, the non – zero vector fields  $\}^i_m(x)$  is called a recurrence vector field.

Dixit [3] discussed a more general Finsler space in which the Cartan's third curvature tensor satisfies the birecurrence condition with respect to condition with respect to Cartan's connection  $\Gamma_{j k}^{*i}$  and she called it and  $R^h$ -birecurrent space. Thus, an  $R^h$ -birecurrent spaces is characterised by

$$(2.2) \quad R_{j k h | m | \ell}^i = \Gamma_{\ell m} R_{j k h}^i, R_{j k h}^i \neq 0$$

Where  $\Gamma_{\ell m}$  appearing in (2.2) is a non-zero covariant tensor field of second order and is called recurrence tensor field.

We now consider a Finsler space in which the Cartan's third curvature tensor satisfies.

$$(2.3) \quad R_{j k h | m | \ell}^i = \}^i_{\ell} R_{j k h | m}^i + \Gamma_{\ell m} R_{j k h}^i$$

And

$$(2.4) \quad R_{j k h | m | \ell}^i = \}^i_m R_{j k h | \ell}^i + \Gamma_{\ell m} R_{j k h}^i$$

Where  $\}^i_{\ell}$  and  $\Gamma_{\ell m}$  are non-zero covariant vector and covariant tensor field of order 2 respectively. The space satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) will respectively be called as  $R^h$ -generalized birecurrent space of first and second kinds, we shall briefly denote them by  $R^h - GBRF_n - I$  and  $R^h - GBRF_n - II$  respectively.

In particular, if the space satisfies.

$$(2.5) \quad R_{j k h | m | \ell}^i = \}^i_{\ell} R_{j k h | m}^i$$

And

$$(2.6) \quad R_{j k h | m | \ell}^i = \}^i_m R_{j k h | \ell}^i$$

Where  $\}^i_r$  is a non – zero covariant vector field, then such a Finsler space will respectively be termed as special  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent space of first and second kinds and shall briefly be denoted by  $R^h - SGBRF_n I$  and  $R^h - SGBRF_n II$  respectively. If we consider  $\}^i_{\ell}$  as zero in (2.3) then (2.3) immediately reduces into (2.2) which will be the condition to be satisfied by the curvature tensor in a birecurrent space. Thus, we conclude that  $\}^i_{\ell} = 0$  reduces an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent space of the first kind into an  $R^h$ -birecurrent space and similarly the assumption  $\}^i_m = 0$  too will reduce an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent space of the second kind into an  $R^h$ -birecurrent space. Transecting (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) respectively by  $g_{ip}$ , we get



$$(2.7) \quad R_{jpkh|m|\ell} = \}_{\ell} R_{jpkh|m} + r_{\ell m} R_{jpkh},$$

$$(2.8) \quad R_{jpkh|m|\ell} = \}_{m} R_{jpkh|\ell} + r_{\ell m} R_{jpkh},$$

$$(2.9) \quad R_{jpkh|m|\ell} = \}_{l} R_{jpkh|m}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad R_{jpkh|m|\ell} = \}_{m} R_{jpkh|m}$$

Where, we have taken into account the fact that the metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  of a Finsler space is a covariant constant.

Conversely, if we transvect (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) by  $g^{ip}$  we immediately get (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) respectively. Therefore, we can state:

**Theorem (2.1)**

In a  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent and in an special  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent Finsler spaces of the two kinds the conditions (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) are respectively equivalent to (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10).

**Theorem (2.2)**

$R^h$ -generalised birecurrent and special  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent spaces of the two kinds may respectively be characterised by the conditions (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10).

We now contract (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) with respect to the indices i and h and get

$$(2.11) \quad R_{jk|m|\ell} = \}_{\ell} R_{jk|m} + r_{\ell m} R_{jk},$$

$$(2.12) \quad R_{jk|m|\ell} = \}_{m} R_{jk|\ell} + r_{\ell m} R_{jk},$$

$$(2.13) \quad R_{jk|m|\ell} = \}_{\ell} R_{jk|m}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad R_{jk|m|\ell} = \}_{m} R_{jk|\ell}$$

Respectively, therefore, we can state:

**Theorem (2.3)**

The Ricci tensor  $R_{jk}$  of generalised birecurrent and  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent Finsler spaces of the two kinds respectively satisfy (2.11),(2.12), (2.13) and (2.14).

However, if the Ricci tensor of a Finsler space satisfy (2.11) or (2.12) then it can be seen that such tensor need not be  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent of the first kind or  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent of the second kind similarly if the Ricci tensor of a Finsler space satisfies (2.13) or (2.14) then it can also be seen that such a Finsler space need not be  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent of the first kind or  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent of the second kind. We shall now investigate the circumstances under which this holds, the curvature tensor  $R_{ijkh}$  of a three dimensional Finsler space is given in the form [4]

$$(2.15) \quad R_{ijkh} = g_{ik} L_{jh} + g_{jh} L_{ik} (-i/h)$$

Where

$$(2.16) \quad (a) \quad L_{ik} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left( R_{ik} - \frac{\chi}{2} g_{ik} \right)$$

$$(b) \quad \chi = \frac{1}{n-1} R_i^i.$$

Transvecting (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) respectively by  $g^{jp}$ , we get

$$(2.17) \quad R_{k|m|\ell}^p = \}_{\ell} R_{k|m}^p + r_{\ell m} R_k^p,$$



$$(2.18) \quad R_{k|m|\ell}^p = \}^m R_{k|\ell}^p + \Gamma_{\ell m} R_k^p,$$

$$(2.19) \quad R_{k|m|\ell}^p = \}^{\ell} R_{k|m}^p$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad R_{k|m|\ell}^p = \}^m R_{k|\ell}^p.$$

Contracting (2.17), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) with respect to the indices p and k and thereafter using (2.16b), we get

$$(2.21) \quad X_{|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} X_{|m} + \Gamma_{\ell m} X,$$

$$(2.22) \quad X_{|m|\ell} = \}^m X_{|\ell} + \Gamma_{\ell m} X,$$

$$(2.23) \quad X_{|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} X_{|m}$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad X_{|m|\ell} = \}^m X_{|\ell}$$

In view of the above four equations (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14), the second covariant differentiation of (2.16a) with respect to  $x^m$  in the sense of Cartan gives

$$(2.25) \quad L_{ik|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} L_{ik|m} + \Gamma_{\ell m} L_{ik},$$

$$(2.26) \quad L_{ik|m|\ell} = \}^m L_{ik|\ell} + \Gamma_{\ell m} L_{ik},$$

$$(2.27) \quad L_{ik|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} L_{ik|m}$$

and

$$(2.28) \quad L_{ik|m|\ell} = \}^m L_{ik|\ell}$$

Differentiating (2.15) covariantly twice with respect to  $x^{\ell}$  and  $x^m$  successively in the sense of Cartan and using the equations (2.25), (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28) we respectively get (2.3), (2.4), (2.4) and (2.6) therefore, we can state :

**Theorem (2.4)**

A three dimensional Ricci generalised and Ricci special generalised binecurrent Finsler spaces of the two kinds are necessarily  $R^h$ - special generalised birecurrent of the two kinds respectively.

Matsumoto [4] introduced a Finsler space  $F_n(n>3)$  for which the tensor  $R_{ijkh}$  satisfies (2.15) and he called such a space as R – 3like Finsler space. If we consider an R-3 -like Ricci generalised and Ricci special generalized spaces of the two kinds and thereafter applying the same process as have been applied in the foregoing lines, we get

$$(2.29) \quad R_{ijkh|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} R_{ijkh|m} + \Gamma_{\ell m} R_{ijkh},$$

$$(2.30) \quad R_{ijkh|m|\ell} = \}^m R_{ijkh|\ell} + \Gamma_{\ell m} R_{ijkh},$$

$$(2.31) \quad R_{ijkh|m|\ell} = \}^{\ell} R_{ijkh|m}$$

and

$$(2.32) \quad R_{ijkh|m|\ell} = \}^m R_{ijkh|\ell}.$$

Therefore, we can state:

**Theorem (2.5)**

$R^h$ -generalised and  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent spaces of the two kinds are respectively Ricci generalised and Riccispecial generalised birecurrent of the two kinds but the converse of this statement is not true. However, if the space  $F_n$  is R – 3like then the converse is also true.

Transvecting (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) by  $\dot{x}^j$ , we get

$$(2.33) \quad H_{kh|m|\ell}^i = \}^{\ell} H_{kh|m}^i + \Gamma_{\ell m} H_{kh}^i,$$

$$(2.34) \quad H_{kh|m|\ell}^i = \}^m H_{kh|\ell}^i + \Gamma_{\ell m} H_{kh}^i,$$



$$(2.35) \quad H^i_{kh|m|\ell} = \} \ell H^i_{kh|m}$$

and

$$(2.36) \quad H^i_{kh|m|\ell} = \} m H^i_{kh|\ell} .$$

Transvecting (2.33), (2.34),(2.35) and (2.36) by ,  $\dot{x}^k$  we get

$$(2.37) \quad H^i_{h|m|\ell} = \} \ell H^i_{h|m} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H^i_h,$$

$$(2.38) \quad H^i_{h|m|\ell} = \} m H^i_{h|\ell} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H^i_h,$$

$$(2.39) \quad H^i_{h|m|\ell} = \} \ell H^i_{h|m}$$

and

$$(2.40) \quad H^i_{h|m|\ell} = \} m H^i_{h|\ell}$$

Contracting (2.33), (2.34), (2.35) and (2.36) with respect to the indices i and h,we get

$$(2.41) \quad H_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell H_{|m} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H,$$

$$(2.42) \quad H_{|m|\ell} = \} m H_{|\ell} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H,$$

$$(2.43) \quad H_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell H_{|m}$$

and

$$(2.44) \quad H_{|m|\ell} = \} m H_{|\ell}$$

Therefore, we may state:

**Theorem (2.6)**

The tensors  $H^i_{kh}$ ,  $H^i_h$ , the vector  $H_k$  and the scalar H of  $R^h$  –generalised and  $R^h$  –special generalised birecurrent Finsler spaces of the two kinds are respectively h– special generalised birecurrent of the two kinds.

From here onwards we propose to establish the necessary and sufficient condition in order that the Berwald’s curvature tensor  $H^i_{jkh}$  may become  $R^h$ –generalised birecurrent of first and second kinds and also it be h –special generalised birecurrent of first and second kinds -

Differentiating (2.33) patially with respect to  $\dot{x}^j$  and thereafter using (1.2), we get

$$(2.45) \quad \dot{\partial}_j H^i_{kh|m|\ell} = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \ell \right) H^i_{kh|m} + \} \ell \dot{\partial}_j \left( H^i_{kh|m} \right) + \left( \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{\ell m} \right) H^i_{kh} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H^i_{jkh} .$$

we now use the commutation formula (1.2) and get

$$(2.46) \quad \left\{ \dot{\partial}_j \left( H^i_{kh|m} \right) \right\}_{|\ell} + H^r_{kh|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{r\ell} - H^i_{rh|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{k\ell} - H^i_{kr|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{h\ell} - H^i_{kh|r} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{m\ell} - \dot{\partial}_r \left( H^i_{kh|m} \right) P^r_{j\ell} = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \ell \right) H^i_{kh|m} + \} \ell H^i_{jkh|m} + \} \ell \left( H^r_{kh} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{rm} \right) - H^i_{rh} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{km} - H^i_{kr} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{hm} - H^i_{rkh} P^r_{jm} + \left( \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{\ell m} \right) H^i_{kh} + \Gamma_{\ell m} H^i_{jkh} .$$

Using the commutation formula (1.2) in (2.46), we get

$$(2.47) \quad H^i_{jkh|m|\ell} + \left\{ \left( H^r_{kh} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{rm} - H^i_{rh} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{km} - H^i_{kr} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{hm} - H^i_{rkh} P^r_{jm} \right)_{|\ell} + H^r_{kh|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{r\ell} - H^i_{rh|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{k\ell} - H^i_{kr|m} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{h\ell} - H^i_{kh|r} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^*_{m\ell} - H^i_{rkh|m} P^r_{j\ell} - H^s_{kh} \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma^*_{sm} P^r_{j\ell} + H^i_{sh} \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma^*_{km} P^r_{j\ell} + \right.$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ H_{ks}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s} P_{j\ell}^r + H_{skh}^i P_{rm}^s P_{j\ell}^r \} \\
 &= \left( \} \ell H_{jkh|m}^i + r_{\ell m} H_{jkh}^i \right) + \left\{ \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \ell \right) H_{kh|m}^i + \} \ell H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - \right. \\
 &\left. - \} \ell H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{rhk}^i P_{j\ell m}^r + \left( \dot{\partial}_j r_{\ell m} \right) H_{kh}^i \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.47) it is obvious that

$$(2.48) \quad H_{jkh|m|\ell}^i = \} \ell H_{jkh|m}^i + r_{\ell m} H_{jkh}^i,$$

If and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.49) \quad &\left( H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r \right)_{|\ell} + \\
 &+ H_{kh|m}^r \Gamma_{r\ell}^{*i} - H_{rh|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{k\ell}^{*r} - H_{kr|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{h\ell}^{*r} - H_{kh|r}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{m\ell}^{*r} - \\
 &- H_{rkh|m}^i P_{j\ell}^r - H_{kh}^s \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i} P_{j\ell}^r + H_{sh}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s} P_{j\ell}^r + \\
 &+ H_{ks}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s} P_{j\ell}^r = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \ell \right) H_{kh|m}^i + \\
 &+ \} \ell H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - \} \ell H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r + \\
 &+ \left( \dot{\partial}_j r_{\ell m} \right) H_{kh}^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can state:

**Theorem (2.7)**

The Berwald's curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  of an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent space of the first kind is  $h$ -generalised birecurrent of the first kind if and only if (2.49) holds.

After adopting the process similar to that which have been studied in the foregoing lines for (2.34), (2.35) and (2.36), we may state the following:

**Theorem (2.8)**

The Berwald's curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  of an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the second kind is  $h$ -generalised birecurrent of the second kind if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.50) \quad &\left( H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r \right)_{|\ell} + \\
 &+ H_{kh|m}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{r\ell}^{*i} - H_{rh|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{k\ell}^{*r} - H_{kr|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{h\ell}^{*r} - H_{kh|r}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{m\ell}^{*r} + \\
 &+ H_{rkh|m}^i P_{j\ell}^r = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} m \right) H_{kh|\ell}^i + \} m H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{r\ell}^{*i} - \} m H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{k\ell}^{*r} - \\
 &- \} m H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{h\ell}^{*r} - \} m H_{rkh}^i P_{j\ell}^r + \left( \dot{\partial}_j r_{\ell m} \right) H_{kh}^i
 \end{aligned}$$

holds.

**Theorem (2.9)**

The Berwald's curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  of an  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the first kind is  $h$ -special generalised birecurrent of the first kind if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.51) \quad &\left( H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r \right)_{|\ell} + \\
 &+ H_{kh|m}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{r\ell}^{*i} - H_{rh|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{k\ell}^{*r} - H_{kr|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{h\ell}^{*r} - H_{kh|r}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{m\ell}^{*r} - \\
 &- H_{rkh|m}^i P_{j\ell}^r - H_{kh}^s \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i} P_{j\ell}^r + H_{sh}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s} P_{j\ell}^r + H_{ks}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s} P_{j\ell}^r + \\
 &+ H_{skh|m}^i P_{rm}^s P_{j\ell}^r = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \ell \right) H_{kh|m}^i + \} \ell H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - \} \ell H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} -
 \end{aligned}$$



$$- \} \ell H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hm}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r$$

holds.

**Theorem (2.10)**

The Berwald's curvature tensor  $H_{jkh}^i$  of an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the second kind is h- generalised birecurrent of the second kind if and only if

$$(2.52) \quad \left( H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rm}^{*i} - H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{km}^{*r} - H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r \right)_{|\ell} +$$

$$+ H_{kh|m}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i} - H_{rh|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{kl}^{*r} - H_{kr|m}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r} - H_{kh|r}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{ml}^{*r} -$$

$$- H_{rkh|m}^i P_{jl}^r - H_{kh}^s \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{sm}^{*i} P_{jl}^r + H_{sh}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{km}^{*s} P_{jl}^r +$$

$$+ H_{ks}^i \dot{\partial}_r \Gamma_{hm}^{*s} P_{jl}^r + H_{skh}^i P_{rm}^s P_{jl}^r = \left( \dot{\partial}_j \} \right)_m H_{kh|\ell}^i +$$

$$+ \} \ell H_{kh}^r \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rl}^{*i} - \} \ell H_{rh}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{kl}^h - \} \ell H_{kr}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hl}^{*r} - \} \ell H_{rkh}^i P_{jm}^r$$

Holds.

**The Identities of A  $R^h$ -Generalised And  $R^h$ -Special Generalised Birecurrent Finsler Space of The Two Kinds**

The identity satisfied by the curvature tensor  $R_{ijkh}$  has been given by Cartan [2] as

$$(3.1) \quad R_{ijkh} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} + \left( C_{ijs} K_{rhk}^s + C_{ihs} K_{rkj}^s + C_{iks} K_{rjh}^s \right) \dot{x}^r = 0.$$

Using (1.9) in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad R_{ijkh} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} + C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s = 0.$$

Differentiating (3.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  in the sense of Cartan, we get

$$(3.3) \quad R_{ijkh|m} + R_{ihkj|m} + R_{ikjh|m} +$$

$$+ \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m} = 0$$

Differentiating (3.3) covariantly with respect to  $x^\ell$  in the sense of Cartan and using (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) separately, we get the following

$$(3.4) \quad \} \ell \left( R_{ijkh|m} + R_{ihkj|m} + R_{ikjh|m} \right) + r_{\ell m} \left( R_{ijhk} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} \right) +$$

$$\left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = 0$$

$$(3.5) \quad \} m \left( R_{ijkh|m} + R_{ihkj|m} + R_{ikjh|m} \right) + r_{\ell m} \left( R_{ijhk} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} \right) +$$

$$\left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = 0$$

$$(3.6) \quad \} \ell \left( R_{ijk|m} + R_{ihkj|m} + R_{ikjh|m} \right) +$$

$$\left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \} m \left( R_{ijk|\ell} + R_{ihkj|\ell} + R_{ikjh|\ell} \right) +$$

$$\left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = 0,$$

We now use (3.2) and (3.3) in (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) respectively and get

$$(3.8) \quad \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell}$$



$$= \} \ell \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right),$$

$$(3.9) \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell}$$

$$= \} m \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|\ell} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right),$$

$$(3.10) \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell}$$

$$= \} \ell \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m}$$

$$(3.11) \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|m|\ell}$$

$$= \} m \left( C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih_s} H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s \right)_{|\ell}.$$

Transsecting (3.8),(3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) by  $\dot{x}^j$  and using (1.7) thereafter, we get

$$(3.12) \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right),$$

$$(3.13) \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} m \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|\ell} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)$$

$$(3.14) \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} m \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|\ell},$$

$$(3.15) \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell \left( C_{iks} H_h^s - C_{ih_s} H_k^s \right)_{|m}.$$

Transvecting (3.8),(3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) successively by  $g^{pi}$  and thereafter using the symmetry property of the tensor  $C_{ijk}$  in all its lower indices along with the fact that

$C_{ijk} \dot{x}^i = C_{ijk} \dot{x}^j = C_{ijk} \dot{x}^k = 0$ , we get

$$(3.16) \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right),$$

$$(3.17) \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} m \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|\ell} +$$

$$+ \Gamma_{\ell m} \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right),$$

$$(3.18) \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} \ell \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m},$$

$$(3.19) \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|m|\ell} = \} m \left( C_{ks}^p H_h^s - C_{hs}^p H_k^s \right)_{|\ell}.$$

In view of (1.4) and (1.5), the equation (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$(3.20) R_{jkh|m}^i + R_{hkm|j}^i + R_{kjh|m}^i + \left( C_{js}^i H_{hk}^s + C_{hs}^i H_{kj}^s + C_{ks}^i H_{jh}^s \right) = 0.$$

We now use (1.9) in (3.17), we get





$$(3.21) \quad \} _m \left( K_{j h k}^i + K_{h k j}^i + K_{k j h}^i \right) + 2 \left( C_{j s}^i H_{h k}^s + C_{h s}^i H_{k j}^s + C_{k s}^i H_{j h}^s \right) = 0$$

Using (1.4) in (3.17), we get

$$(3.22) \quad \left( C_{j s}^i H_{h k}^s + C_{h s}^i H_{k j}^s + C_{k s}^i H_{j h}^s \right) = 0$$

(3.18) obviously implies

$$(3.23) \quad C_{j s|m}^i H_{h k}^s + C_{j s}^i H_{h k|m}^s + C_{h s|m}^i H_{k j}^s + C_{h s}^i H_{k j|m}^s + \\ + C_{k s|m}^i H_{j h}^s + C_{k s}^i H_{j h|m}^s = 0.$$

Differentiating (3.19) with respect to  $x^\ell$  in the sense of Cartan, we get

$$(3.24) \quad C_{j s|m|\ell}^i H_{h k}^s + C_{j s|m}^i H_{h k|\ell}^s + C_{j s|\ell}^i H_{h k|m}^s + C_{j s}^i H_{h k|m|\ell}^s + \\ + C_{h s|m|\ell}^i H_{k j}^s + C_{h s|m}^i H_{k j|\ell}^s + C_{h s|\ell}^i H_{k j|m}^s + C_{h s}^i H_{k j|m|\ell}^s + \\ + C_{k s|m|\ell}^i H_{j h}^s + C_{k s|m}^i H_{j h|\ell}^s + C_{k s|\ell}^i H_{j h|m}^s + C_{k s}^i H_{j h|m|\ell}^s = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.20) by  $\dot{x}^m$  and therefore using (1.5), we get

$$(3.25) \quad P_{j s|\ell}^i H_{h k}^s + P_{j s}^i H_{h k|\ell}^s + C_{j s|\ell}^i H_{h k|m}^s \dot{x}^m + C_{j s}^i H_{h k|m|\ell}^s \dot{x}^m + \\ P_{h s|\ell}^i H_{k j}^s + P_{h s}^i H_{k j|\ell}^s + C_{h s|\ell}^i H_{k j|m}^s \dot{x}^m + C_{h s}^i H_{k j|m|\ell}^s \dot{x}^m + \\ P_{k s|\ell}^i H_{j h}^s + P_{k s}^i H_{j h|\ell}^s + C_{k s|\ell}^i H_{j h|m}^s \dot{x}^m + C_{k s}^i H_{j h|m|\ell}^s \dot{x}^m = 0$$

Transvecting (3.20) by  $\dot{x}^h$  and using (1.4) and the fact that  $P_{k h}^i \dot{x}^h = 0$ , we get

$$(3.26) \quad \left( P_{j s}^i H_k^s \right)_{|\ell} - \left( P_{k s}^i H_j^s \right)_{|\ell} + \left( C_{j s}^i H_{k|m}^s - C_{k s}^i H_{h|m}^s \right)_{|\ell} \dot{x}^m = 0.$$

Differentiating the identity  $R_{i j k|h}^r + R_{i h j|k}^r + R_{i k h|j}^r + \dot{x}^m \left( R_{m k h}^r P_{i j \ell}^r + R_{m j k}^\ell P_{i h \ell}^r + R_{m n j}^\ell P_{i h \ell}^r \right) = 0$ , with respect to  $x^\ell$  in sense of Cartan, we get

$$(3.27) \quad R_{j k h|m|\ell}^i + R_{j m k|h|\ell}^i + R_{j h m|k|\ell}^i + \\ + \dot{x}^s \left( R_{r h m}^s P_{j k s}^i + R_{r k h}^s P_{j m s}^i + R_{r m k}^s P_{j h s}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0.$$

In view of the conditions as have been given in (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), (3.23) to (3.26) may be written as

$$(3.28) \quad \} _\ell \left( R_{j k h|m}^i + R_{j m k|h}^i + R_{j h m|k}^i \right) + \\ + r_{\ell m} R_{j k h}^i + r_{\ell h} R_{j m k}^i + r_{\ell k} R_{j h m}^i +$$



$$+ \left( H_{hm}^r P_{jkr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{jmr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{jhr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0,$$

$$(3.29) \quad \} _m R_{jkh|\ell}^i + \text{r}_{\ell m} R_{jkh}^i + \} _h R_{jmk|\ell}^i + \text{r}_{\ell h} R_{jmk}^i + \} _k R_{jhm|\ell}^i + \\ \text{r}_{\ell k} R_{jhm}^i + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{jkr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{jmr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{jhr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0,$$

$$(3.30) \quad \} _\ell \left( R_{jkh|m}^i + R_{jmk|h}^i + R_{jhm|k}^i \right) + \\ + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{jkr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{jmr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{jhr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0,$$

And

$$(3.31) \quad \left( \} _m R_{jk|\ell}^i + \} _h R_{jmk|\ell}^i + \} _k R_{jhm|\ell}^i \right) + \\ + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{jkr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{jmr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{jhr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.24), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) by  $\dot{x}^j$  and thereafter using (1.4) and (1.5) we get,

$$(3.32) \quad \} _\ell \left( H_{kh|m}^i + H_{mk|h}^i + H_{hm|k}^i \right) + \\ + \left( \text{r}_{\ell m} H_{kh}^i + \text{r}_{\ell h} H_{mk}^i + \text{r}_{\ell k} H_{hm}^i \right) + \\ + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{kr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{mr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{hr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0,$$

$$(3.33) \quad \} _\ell \left( H_{kh|m}^i + H_{mk|h}^i + H_{hm|k}^i \right) + \\ + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{kr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{mr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{hr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0$$

And

$$(3.34) \quad \left( \} _m H_{kh|\ell}^i + \} _h H_{mk|\ell}^i + \} _k H_{hm|\ell}^i \right) + \\ + \left( H_{hm}^r P_{kr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{mr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{hr}^i \right)_{|\ell} = 0.$$

Therefore, we may state the following:



**Theorem (3.1)**

In an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the first kind the identities (3.8), (3.9), (3.12), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) hold and the tensors  $C_{ijs}H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs}H_{kj}^s + C_{iks}H_{jh}^s$ ,  $C_{iks}H_h^s - C_{ihs}H_k^s$  and  $C_{ks}^pH_h^s - C_{hs}^pH_k^s$  are all  $h$ -generalized birecurrent of the first kind.

**Theorem (3.2)**

In an  $R^h$ -generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the second kind the identities (3.8), (3.9), (3.12), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) hold and the tensors  $C_{ijk}H_{hk}^s + C_{ihk}H_{kj}^s + C_{iks}H_{jh}^s$ ,  $C_{iks}H_h^s - C_{ihs}H_k^s$  and  $C_{ks}^pH_h^s - C_{hs}^pH_k^s$  are all  $h$ -generalised birecurrent of the second kind.

**Theorem (3.3)**

In an  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the first kind the identities (3.24), (3.26) and (3.33) hold and the tensors  $C_{ijs}H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs}H_{hk}^s + C_{iks}H_{jh}^s$ ,  $C_{iks}H_h^s - C_{ihs}H_k^s$  and  $C_{ks}^pH_h^s - C_{hs}^pH_k^s$  are all  $h$ -special generalised birecurrent of the first kind.

**Theorem (3.4)**

In an  $R^h$ -special generalised birecurrent Finsler space of the second kind the identities (3.24), (3.26) and (3.34) hold and the tensors  $C_{ijs}H_{hk}^s + C_{ihs}H_{kj}^s + C_{iks}H_{jh}^s$ ,  $C_{iks}H_h^s - C_{ihs}H_k^s$  and  $C_{ks}^pH_h^s - C_{hs}^pH_k^s$  are all  $h$ -special generalised birecurrent of the second kind.

**Reference**

1. Cartan, E.: Sur les espaces de Finsler, C. R. Acad. Sci.(Paris), 196, 582-586, (1933).
2. Cartan, E.: Les espaces de Finsler, Actualities,(Paris) 79 (2nd edit), (1934).
3. Dixit, S. : Certain types of recurrences in Finsler spaces, D. Phil. Thesis, Univ. of Allahabad, Allahabad, India (1992).
4. Matsumoto, M.: A Theory of three dimensional Finsler spaces in terms of scalars, Demonstr. Math. 6, 223-257, (1973).
5. Mishra, R. S.: Recurrent Finsler spaces, J. Ind. Math. and Soc.(NS), 32, 17-22, (1968).
6. Pande, H. D.
7. Mishra, R. B.: A turning point in the theory of recurrent Finsler manifolds, J. South Gujarat Univ. 6, 72-96, (1977).
8. Sen, R. N.: Finsler spaces of recurrent curvature, Tensor(N.S.), 19, 291-299, (1968).
9. Sinha, B. B : On recurrent spaces of second order in and Finsler spaces, Yakohama Math. J. 18, 27-32, (1970).
10. Singh, S. P.
11. Verma, K: Some transformations in Finsler spaces, D.Phil. Thesis, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India (1992).